

How would you rate Eden Prairie as a place to play?



REGISTERED VS NON-REGISTERED

	A	B	C	D
Registered Voters (41)	51.2% (21)	34.1% (14)	14.6% (6)	-
Non-Registered Voters (8)	50.0% (4)	25.0% (2)	25.0% (2)	-

ALL RESPONDENTS

	A	B	C	D
All respondents (49)	51.0% (25)	33.0% (16)	16.0% (8)	-
Registered Voters in Eden Prairie, MN (41)	51.2% (21)	34.1% (14)	14.6% (6)	-
Live in Eden Prairie, MN (49) - Self-reported	51.0% (25)	32.7% (16)	16.3% (8)	-
Subscribers to Eden Prairie, MN (49)	51.0% (25)	32.7% (16)	16.3% (8)	-
Register respondents from anywhere (41)	51.0% (21)	34.0% (14)	15.0% (6)	-

How would you rate Eden Prairie as a place to play?

A Excellent **B** Good **C** Fair **D** Poor

PRECINCT

41 REGISTERED VOTERS

	A	B	C	D
EDEN PRAIRIE P-01 (4)	25.0% (1)	50.0% (2)	25.0% (1)	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-02 (2)	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-03 (2)	100.0% (2)	-	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-04 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-05 (2)	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-07 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-09 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-10 (6)	50.0% (3)	33.3% (2)	16.7% (1)	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-12 (3)	-	66.7% (2)	33.3% (1)	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-13 (6)	66.7% (4)	16.7% (1)	16.7% (1)	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-14 (3)	33.3% (1)	66.7% (2)	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-15 (2)	50.0% (1)	-	50.0% (1)	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-16 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-17 (2)	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)	-	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-18 (3)	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)	-
EDEN PRAIRIE P-19B (2)	100.0% (2)	-	-	-

VOTERS GENDER

41 REGISTERED VOTERS

	A	B	C	D
F (20)	40.0% (8)	35.0% (7)	25.0% (5)	-
M (21)	61.9% (13)	33.3% (7)	4.8% (1)	-

AGE RANGE

41 REGISTERED VOTERS

	A	B	C	D
18-29 (2)	100.0% (2)	-	-	-
30-39 (7)	28.6% (2)	57.1% (4)	14.3% (1)	-
40-49 (6)	83.3% (5)	16.7% (1)	-	-
50-59 (15)	40.0% (6)	40.0% (6)	20.0% (3)	-
60-69 (5)	40.0% (2)	60.0% (3)	-	-
70-79 (5)	80.0% (4)	-	20.0% (1)	-
80-89 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)	-

How would you rate Eden Prairie as a place to play?

A Excellent **B** Good **C** Fair **D** Poor



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **D** Excellent

Great parks and lots of paths. Not much more you could ask for. People should checkout Richard T. Anderson if they want to unplug even more.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **B** Fair

Who's idea was it to redesign round lake park like it is? It is absolutely horrible for softball. What a waste of money. It was better the way it was before. Currently no one parks near the fields since any foul ball will damage cars. And that Pavilion??? It holds 15 people. And why do softball games get split between the field past the fire station and the main fields at the park? Double headers have to travel between the fields in 5 minutes. And it's ridiculous that adults who are paying way more than any other league can't drink beer during the game because it's technically on school property. Who designed all this? Many teams are considering leaving EP leagues because of the cost, poor re design and treatment.